

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF
COMMERCE
PUBLICATION



NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS ER-54

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service

A PROCEDURE FOR IMPROVING NATIONAL METEOROLOGICAL CENTER OBJECTIVE PRECIPITATION FORECASTS - WINTER SEASON

Joseph A. Ronco Jr.

Date: 11-19-73
DRW
MJW
LRB
RLM
WIW
JFW
RW

Eastern Region
Garden City, NY

November 1973

NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA
National Weather Service, Eastern Region Subseries

The National Weather Service Eastern Region (ER) Subseries provides an informal medium for the documentation and quick dissemination of results not appropriate, or not yet ready, for formal publication. The series is used to report on work in progress, to describe technical procedures and practices, or to relate progress to a limited audience. These Technical Memoranda will report on investigations devoted primarily to regional and local problems of interest mainly to ER personnel, and hence will not be widely distributed.

Papers 1 to 22 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Eastern Region Technical Memoranda (ERTM); papers 23 to 37 are in the former series, ESSA Technical Memoranda, Weather Bureau Technical Memoranda (WBTM). Beginning with 38, the papers are now part of the series, NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS.

Papers 1 to 22 are available from the National Weather Service Eastern Region, Scientific Services Division, 585 Stewart Avenue, Garden City, N.Y. 11530. Beginning with 23, the papers are available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Sillis Bldg., 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Va. 22151. Price: \$3.00 paper copy; \$0.95 microfilm. Order by accession number shown in parentheses at end of each entry.

ESSA Technical Memoranda

- ERTM 1 Local Uses of Vorticity Prognoses in Weather Prediction. Carlos R. Dunn. April 1965
- ERTM 2 Application of the Barotropic Vorticity Prognostic Field to the Surface Forecast Problem. Silvio G. Simplicio. July 1965
- ERTM 3 A Technique for Deriving an Objective Precipitation Forecast Scheme for Columbus, Ohio. Robert Kuessner. September 1965
- ERTM 4 Stepwise Procedures for Developing Objective Aids for Forecasting the Probability of Precipitation. Carlos R. Dunn. November 1965
- ERTM 5 A Comparative Verification of 300 mb. Winds and Temperatures Based on NMC Computer Products Before and After Manual Processing. Silvio G. Simplicio. March 1966
- ERTM 6 Evaluation of OFDEV Technical Note No. 17. Richard M. DeAngelis. March 1966
- ERTM 7 Verification of Probability Forecasts at Hartford, Connecticut, for the Period 1963-1965. Robert B. Wassall. March 1966
- ERTM 8 Forest-Fire Pollution Episode in West Virginia November 8-12, 1964. Robert O. Weedfall. April 1966
- ERTM 9 The Utilization of Radar in Meso-Scale Synoptic Analysis and Forecasting. Jerry D. Hill. March 1966
- ERTM 10 Preliminary Evaluation of Probability of Precipitation Experiment. Carlos R. Dunn. May 1966
- ERTM 11 Final Report. A Comparative Verification of 300 mb. Winds and Temperatures Based on NMC Computer Products Before and After Manual Processing. Silvio G. Simplicio. May 1966
- ERTM 12 Summary of Scientific Services Division Development Work in Sub-Synoptic Scale Analysis and Prediction - Fiscal Year 1966. Fred L. Zuckerberg. July 1966
- ERTM 13 A Survey of the Role of Non-Adiabatic Heating and Cooling in Relation to the Development of Mid-Latitude Synoptic Systems. Constantine Zois. July 1966
- ERTM 14 The Forecasting of Extratropical Onshore Gales at the Virginia Capes. Glen V. Sachse. August 1966
- ERTM 15 Solar Radiation and Clover Temperature. Alex J. Kish. September 1966
- ERTM 16 The Effects of Dams, Reservoirs and Levees on River Forecasting. Richard M. Greening. September 1966
- ERTM 17 Use of Reflectivity Measurements and Reflectivity Profiles for Determining Severe Storms. Robert E. Hamilton. October 1966
- ERTM 18 Procedure for Developing a Nomograph for Use in Forecasting Phenological Events from Growing Degree Days. John C. Purvis and Milton Brown. December 1966
- ERTM 19 Snowfall Statistics for Williamsport, Pa. Jack Hummel. January 1967
- ERTM 20 Forecasting Maturity Date of Snap Beans in South Carolina. Alex J. Kish. March 1967
- ERTM 21 New England Coastal Fog. Richard Fay. April 1967
- ERTM 22 Rainfall Probability at Five Stations Near Pickens, South Carolina, 1957-1963. John C. Purvis. April 1967
- WBTM ER 23 A Study of the Effect of Sea Surface Temperature on the Areal Distribution of Radar Detected Precipitation Over the South Carolina Coastal Waters. Edward Paquet. June 1967 (PB-180-612)
- WBTM ER 24 An Example of Radar as a Tool in Forecasting Tidal Flooding. Edward P. Johnson. August 1967 (PB-180-613)
- WBTM ER 25 Average Mixing Depths and Transport Wind Speeds over Eastern United States in 1965. Marvin E. Miller. August 1967 (PB-180-614)
- WBTM ER 26 The Sleet Bright Band. Donald Marier. October 1967 (PB-180-615)
- WBTM ER 27 A Study of Areas of Maximum Echo Tops in the Washington, D.C. Area During the Spring and Fall Months. Marie D. Fellechner. April 1968 (PB-179-339)
- WBTM ER 28 Washington Metropolitan Area Precipitation and Temperature Patterns. C. A. Woollum and N. L. Canfield. June 1968 (PB-179-340)
- WBTM ER 29 Climatological Regime of Rainfall Associated with Hurricanes after Landfall. Robert W. Schoner. June 1968 (PB-179-341)
- WBTM ER 30 Monthly Precipitation - Amount Probabilities for Selected Stations in Virginia. M. H. Bailey. June 1968 (PB-179-342)
- WBTM ER 31 A Study of the Areal Distribution of Radar Detected Precipitation at Charleston, S. C. S. K. Parrish and M. A. Lopez. October 1968 (PB-180-480)
- WBTM ER 32 The Meteorological and Hydrological Aspects of the May 1968 New Jersey Floods. Albert S. Kachic and William Long. February 1969 (Revised July 1970) (PB-194-222)
- WBTM ER 33 A Climatology of Weather that Affects Prescribed Burning Operations at Columbia, South Carolina. S. E. Wasserman and J. D. Kanupp. December 1968 (COM-71-00194)
- WBTM ER 34 A Review of Use of Radar in Detection of Tornadoes and Hail. R. E. Hamilton. December 1969 (PB-188-315)
- WBTM ER 35 Objective Forecasts of Precipitation Using PE Model Output. Stanley E. Wasserman. July 1970 (PB-193-378)
- WBTM ER 36 Summary of Radar Echoes in 1967 Near Buffalo, N.Y. Richard K. Sheffield. September 1970 (COM-71-00310)
- WBTM ER 37 Objective Mesoscale Temperature Forecasts. Joseph P. Sobel. September 1970 (COM-71-0074)

NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS

- NWS ER 38 Use of Primitive Equation Model Output to Forecast Winter Precipitation in the Northeast Coastal Sections of the United States. Stanley E. Wasserman and Harvey Rosenblum. December 1970 (COM-71-00138)
- NWS ER 39 A Preliminary Climatology of Air Quality in Ohio. Marvin E. Miller. January 1971 (COM-71-00204)

(Continued On Inside Rear Cover)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE EASTERN REGION
Garden City, New York

NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM NWS ER-54

A PROCEDURE FOR IMPROVING NATIONAL METEOROLOGICAL CENTER
OBJECTIVE PRECIPITATION FORECASTS - WINTER SEASON

Joseph A. Ronco, Jr.
WSFO, Portland, Maine

SCIENTIFIC SERVICES DIVISION
Eastern Region Headquarters
November 1973



A PROCEDURE FOR IMPROVING NATIONAL METEOROLOGICAL CENTER OBJECTIVE PRECIPITATION FORECASTS - WINTER SEASON

INTRODUCTION

A procedure for using the Limited-Area Fine-Mesh Model (LFM) (1) quantitative precipitation forecasts (QPF) to improve National Meteorological Center (NMC) objective probability of precipitation (PoP) forecasts in the summer was presented in NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS ER-49 (2). A similar procedure applied to winter data is now presented. The NMC objective PoP forecasts are derived using Primitive Equation and Trajectory Model Output Statistics (PEATMOS) (3).

The relative frequency of measurable precipitation (≥ 0.01 inch) in 12 hours was determined for cases with similar PEATMOS PoP values, for cases within specified ranges of LFM QPF, and for cases with similar PEATMOS PoP values stratified further according to LFM QPF. The PEATMOS PoP values were obtained from teletype messages, when available, and from facsimile maps when there was no teletype messages or for stations not included in the message. The LFM QPF's were obtained from facsimile maps. The forecasts of LFM QPF and PEATMOS PoP were examined for a 12-hour period ending 24 hours after the time of initial data used to prepare the forecasts. This 12-hour period is identical to the first 12 hours covered in the public weather forecasts released near 5 a.m. and 5 p.m. local time. Forecasts and observations valid for the 12-hour night period 0000Z to 1200Z were evaluated together with forecasts and observations valid for the 12-hour day period 1200Z to 0000Z. This combining of data could mask out any diurnal effects that may exist, but a preliminary evaluation of data indicates that diurnal variations are small.

Combined data for thirteen stations located in New Hampshire and Maine were used to preserve geographic homogeneity and at the same time yield sufficient cases from which to arrive at conclusions. The thirteen stations chosen were Lebanon, Wolfeboro, Jaffrey, Concord, and Portsmouth, New Hampshire; and Caribou, Houlton, Greenville, Bangor, Eastport, Augusta, Rumford, and Portland, Maine.

Dependent data were initially for the period October 14, 1972, to January 31, 1973. A test was conducted on independent data for February and March 1973. All data were then combined for the period October 14, 1972 to March 31, 1973, so as to have a bigger data base from which to arrive at a suggested technique for modifying the winter PEATMOS PoP.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents results for the initial dependent data period of October 14, 1972 to January 31, 1973. PEATMOS PoP, without stratification for LFM

QPF, perform well for the values of 0% and 70%. In the range of 20% to 60%, the PEATMOS PoP values were too high by about 10%. PEATMOS PoP values of 80% and 90% were too low. The LFM was correct in 92% of 1858 cases in which it forecast no precipitation (Table 1, bottom line). Measurable precipitation occurred in 74% of 482 cases when the LFM QPF was in the range of .01 to .49 inches and measurable precipitation occurred in all 147 cases in which the LFM forecast .50 inches or more.

Table 2 presents a modified winter PoP as a function of the PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF. Some subjectivity was necessary in developing Table 2 from the data presented in Table 1, especially where little or no data were available. The modified winter PoP was tested and compared to the unmodified PEATMOS PoP using the dependent data and then independent data for the months of February and March 1973 (Table 3). On independent data the modified PoP had a 40% improvement in Brier score over the PEATMOS PoP and an 11% improvement over the 0.073 Brier score determined for PoP forecasts that were actually released to the public by forecasters on those days when the modified PoP was available to them as guidance. The PoP forecasts were also converted to categorical forecasts and verified (Table 3). In this conversion a PoP of $\geq 50\%$ was treated as a categorical forecast of precipitation and a PoP of $\leq 40\%$ was considered as a categorical forecast of no precipitation. Regardless of the score used, the modified PoP was superior to the PEATMOS PoP. Similar results were previously shown for the summer (2).

The independent data were combined with the dependent data to produce a larger data sample that could be used to modify the results presented in Tables 1 and 2. Table 4 is the relative frequency of observed measurable precipitation as a function of PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF for the period October 13, 1972, to March 31, 1973. Table 5 gives the modified PoP as a function of PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF, and is based on results in Table 4. Statistics in Table 6 show the skill of the PEATMOS PoP compared to the modified PoP based on Table 5. The statistics in Table 6 are for the larger dependent sample period October 13, 1972 to March 31, 1973. The modified PoP was superior to PEATMOS PoP in all scores except pre-figurance. This exception was due to the PEATMOS PoP over-forecasting precipitation as shown by the bias statistic of 1.3.

PEATMOS PoP ERROR

Near the completion of this study, it was discovered that an error existed in the PEATMOS PoP program (4). The error occurred only in the months of November and December 1972, and January 1973. Consequently, three months of PEATMOS PoP data used in this study are of questionable validity. Corrected PEATMOS PoP values for two locations used here, Portland and Caribou, Maine, later became available, but only for the period November 1 to December 13, 1972. A comparison between the corrected and uncorrected data revealed that for the 121 cases examined, the difference in PEATMOS PoP (corrected

versus uncorrected) exceeded plus or minus 10% in only 5 cases. This result, in addition to the fine results obtained with independent and correct February and March 1973 data (Table 3), indicates that errors in the questionable data had little effect on the results.

CONCLUSION

The results presented here are similar to those found using summer data (2). The PEATMOS PoP values should be modified by lowering them when the LFM QPF is zero, raising them when the LFM QPF is between .01 and .49 inches, and raising them significantly to 100% when the LFM QPF is ≥.50 inches. The conclusion presented in (2) is appropriate for quotation here:

"This study has developed a technique for improving precipitation forecasts by objectively using LFM 12-to 24-hour QPF to modify PEATMOS PoP. It is a pilot study which found a predictor that possessed independent information and improved upon the PEATMOS PoP. Even though the sample is small and for a particular area and season, it clearly points the way toward further studies. There is no a priori reason why this approach shouldn't show skill for other areas and seasons. The major contribution of the LFM QPF is in improving the resolution of the PEATMOS PoPs. Because numerical models and the PEATMOS PoP equations change and because the sample used in this study is small, the modified PoP should be continually verified to assure that they remain superior to the PEATMOS PoP."

REFERENCES

- (1) National Weather Service, "The Limited Area Fine Mesh (LFM) Model," *Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 67*, November 22, 1971.
- (2) Ronco, Joseph A. Jr., "A Procedure For Improving National Meteorological Center Objective Precipitation Forecasts," *NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS ER-49*, November 1972.
- (3) National Weather Service, "Operational Forecasts Derived From Primitive Equation And Trajectory Model Output Statistics (PEATMOS)---No.3," *Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 78*, September 28, 1972.
- (4) National Weather Service, "Operational Forecasts Derived From Primitive Equation And Trajectory Model Output Statistics (PEATMOS)---No. 4," *Technical Procedures Bulletin No. 83*, February 9, 1973.

RELATIVE FREQUENCY OF PRECIPITATION

<u>PEATMOS PoP</u>	<u>Without Stratification For LFM QPF</u>	<u>With Stratification for LFM QPF</u>		
		<u>LFM QPF = 0</u>	<u>LFM QPF .01" to .49"</u>	<u>LFM QPF \geq .50"</u>
0%	.01 (3/235)	.01 (2/234)	1.00 (1/1)	X
10%	.02 (14/671)	.01 (3/648)	.29 (5/17)	1.00 (6/6)
20%	.03 (11/326)	.01 (4/304)	.25 (5/20)	1.00 (2/2)
30%	.20 (55/277)	.13 (31/228)	.48 (23/48)	1.00 (1/1)
40%	.32 (51/160)	.19 (22/117)	.66 (27/41)	1.00 (2/2)
50%	.33 (57/171)	.19 (22/115)	.61 (33/54)	1.00 (2/2)
60%	.48 (106/220)	.23 (31/136)	.88 (66/75)	1.00 (9/9)
70%	.70 (143/203)	.39 (25/65)	.83 (96/116)	1.00 (22/22)
80%	.96 (175/183)	.70 (7/10)	.94 (80/85)	1.00 (88/88)
90%	.93 (38/41)	1.00 (1/1)	.88 (22/25)	1.00 (15/15)
100%	X	X	X	X
All Cases	.26 (653/2487)	.08 (148/1858)	.74 (358/482)	1.00 (147/147)

TABLE 1. *Relative frequency of observed measurable precipitation as a function of PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF. Results are for the period October 13, 1972, to January 31, 1973. Numbers in parentheses are the number of precipitation cases over total cases. X indicates no cases.*

PEATMOS PoP MODIFIED FOR LFM QPF

<u>PEATMOS PoP</u>	<u>LFM QPF = 0</u>	<u>LFM QPF .01" to .49"</u>	<u>LFM QPF \geq .50"</u>
0%	0%	30%	100%
10%	0%	30%	100%
20%	0%	40%	100%
30%	10%	50%	100%
40%	20%	60%	100%
50%	20%	70%	100%
60%	20%	80%	100%
70%	30%	80%	100%
80%	50%	90%	100%
90%	70%	90%	100%
100%	70%	100%	100%

TABLE 2. *Modified winter PoP as a function of PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF.
Period of data sample is October 13, 1972, to January 31, 1973.*

Scores	<u>PEATMOS PoP</u>		<u>Modified PoP</u>	
	<u>Dependent Data</u>	<u>Independent Data</u>	<u>Dependent Data</u>	<u>Independent Data</u>
Brier Score	0.112	0.105	0.076	0.063
Bias	1.3	1.4	0.9	1.0
Prefigurance	0.79	0.83	0.77	0.83
Post Agreement	0.63	0.61	0.83	0.82
Threat Score	0.55	0.54	0.67	0.70
Percent Correct	83%	84%	90%	92%
Number of Cases	2487	1419	2487	1419

TABLE 3. Comparison of skill of PEATMOS PoP and modified PoP forecasts for the dependent (October 13, 1972 - January 31, 1973) and independent (February 1 - March 31, 1973) data periods.

Definitions of scores are as follows:

Brier Score	$1/N \left[\sum_{i=1}^N (F-0)^2 \right]$	F = Forecast Probability for each case. 0 = 1 (Rain) or 0 (No Rain) observed. for each case. N = Total number of cases.
Bias	$\frac{\text{Number of precipitation forecasts}}{\text{Number of precipitation cases}}$	
Prefigurance	Fraction of precipitation cases correctly forecast.	
Post Agreement	Fraction of precipitation forecasts which were correct.	
Threat Score	Fraction of "expected" and observed precipitation cases which were correctly forecast.	
Percent Correct	$100\% \times \frac{\text{Number of correct forecasts}}{\text{Number of forecasts}}$	

RELATIVE FREQUENCY OF PRECIPITATION

<u>PEATMOS PoP</u>	<u>Without Stratification For LFM QPF</u>	<u>With Stratification For LFM QPF</u>		
		<u>LFM QPF=0</u>	<u>LFM QPF .01" to .49"</u>	<u>LFM QPF ≥ .50"</u>
0%	.01 (3/614)	.00 (2/613)	1.00 (1/1)	X
10%	.03 (22/894)	.01 (9/869)	.37 (7/19)	1.00 (6/6)
20%	.04 (18/474)	.02 (9/449)	.30 (7/23)	1.00 (2/2)
30%	.18 (73/412)	.11 (39/353)	.57 (33/58)	1.00 (1/1)
40%	.27 (71/259)	.15 (30/201)	.70 (39/56)	1.00 (2/2)
50%	.32 (80/251)	.17 (29/170)	.62 (49/79)	1.00 (2/2)
60%	.49 (157/321)	.20 (37/183)	.86 (111/129)	1.00 (9/9)
70%	.67 (192/288)	.35 (36/102)	.82 (134/164)	1.00 (22/22)
80%	.89 (232/260)	.38 (11/29)	.93 (125/135)	1.00 (96/96)
90%	.93 (118/127)	.38 (3/8)	.94 (58/62)	1.00 (57/57)
100%	.67 (4/6)	.00 (0/2)	1.00 (4/4)	X
All Cases	.25 (970/3906)	.07 (205/2979)	.78 (568/730)	1.00 (197/197)

TABLE 4. *Relative frequency of observed measurable precipitation as a function of PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF. Results are for the period October 13, 1972, to March 31, 1973. Numbers in parentheses are the number of precipitation cases over total cases. X indicates no cases.*

PEATMOS PoP Modified for LFM QPF

PEATMOS PoP	LFM QPF = 0	LFM QPF .01" to .49"	LFM QPF \geq .50"
0%	0%	40%	100%
10%	0%	40%	100%
20%	0%	40%	100%
30%	10%	50%	100%
40%	10%	60%	100%
50%	20%	70%	100%
60%	20%	80%	100%
70%	30%	80%	100%
80%	40%	90%	100%
90%	50%	90%	100%
100%	50%	100%	100%

TABLE 5. Modified PoP as a function of PEATMOS PoP and LFM QPF. Data sample is for the period October 13, 1972, to March 31, 1973, for locations in Maine and New Hampshire.

Scores	PEATMOS PoP	Modified PoP
Brier Score	0.110	0.065
Bias	1.3	1.0
Prefigurance	0.81	0.79
Post Agreement	0.62	0.83
Threat Score	0.55	0.68
Percent Correct	83%	91%
Number of Cases	3906	3906

TABLE 6. Skill of PEATMOS PoP and Modified PoP for LFM QPF using Table 5. In converting a PoP to a categorical forecast, PoP \geq 50% is a precipitation forecast. Results are for the dependent data period of October 13, 1972, to March 31, 1973.

LIST OF EASTERN REGION TECHNICAL MEMORANDA
(Continued from inside front cover)

- NWS ER 40 Use of Detailed Radar Intensity Data in Mesoscale Surface Analysis. Robert E. Hamilton. March 1971 (COM-71-00573)
- NWS ER 41 A Relationship Between Snow Accumulation and Snow Intensity as Determined from Visibility. Stanley E. Wasserman and Daniel J. Monte. May 1971 (COM-71-00763)
- NWS ER 42 A Case Study of Radar Determined Rainfall as Compared to Rain Gage Measurements. Martin Ross. July 1971 (COM-71-00897)
- NWS ER 43 Snow Squalls in the Lee of Lake Erie and Lake Ontario. Jerry D. Hill. August 1971 (COM-71-00959)
- NWS ER 44 Forecasting Precipitation Type at Greer, South Carolina. John C. Purvis. December 1971 (COM-72-10332)
- NWS ER 45 Forecasting Type of Precipitation. Stanley E. Wasserman. January 1972 (COM-72-10316)
- NWS ER 46 An Objective Method of Forecasting Summertime Thunderstorms. John F. Townsend and Russell J. Younkin. May 1972 (COM-72-10765)
- NWS ER 47 An Objective Method of Preparing Cloud Cover Forecasts. James R. Sims. August 1972 (COM-72-11382)
- NWS ER 48 Accuracy of Automated Temperature Forecasts for Philadelphia as Related to Sky Condition and Wind Direction. Robert B. Wassall. September 1972 (COM-72-11473)
- NWS ER 49 A Procedure for Improving National Meteorological Center Objective Precipitation Forecasts. Joseph A. Ronco, Jr. November 1972 (COM-73-10132)
- NWS ER 50 PEATMOS Probability of Precipitation Forecasts as an Aid in Predicting Precipitation Amounts. Stanley E. Wasserman. December 1972 (COM-73-10243)
- NWS ER 51 Frequency and Intensity of Freezing Rain/Drizzle in Ohio. Marvin E. Miller. February 1973 (COM-73-10570)
- NWS ER 52 Forecast and Warning Utilization of Radar Remote Facsimile Data. Robert E. Hamilton. July 1973 (COM-73-11275)
- NWS ER 53 Summary of 1969 and 1970 Public Severe Thunderstorm and Tornado Watches Within the National Weather Service, Eastern Region. Marvin E. Miller and Lewis H. Ramey. October 1973

